

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

| Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 |
|---------------------------------|
| www.uspto.gov |

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 10/695,415 | 10/29/2003 | Hidenori Kawanishi | 204552030500 | 5623 | |
| 25227 | 7590 11/13/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP | | | VAN ROY, TO | VAN ROY, TOD THOMAS | |
| 1650 TYSONS SUITE 300 | SBOULEVARD | · | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| MCLEAN, V | A 22102 | | 2828 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11/13/200 | 6 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/695,415 | KAWANISHI ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Tod T. Van Roy | 2828 | | |

| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | |
|---|--|---|---|
| | Tod T. Van Roy | 2828 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>19 October 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m | fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origor than three months after the mailing date.). | of the fee. The appropring the final Office of the final rejection, of the final rejection rejection, of the final rejection, of the final rejection, of the final rejection rejection rejection rejection. | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed, |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further compared to the first order. | but prior to the date of filing a brief | , will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below): | ecause |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | | 12 00:000), | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | • • | ducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1. | | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | * ** | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | • | , |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | llowable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | Il be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-26. | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). |
| 10. | on of the status of the claims after e | entry is below or attact | ned. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b | ut does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | \ \. |
| | | MINSUN OH HAF PRIMARY EXAM | IVEY NER |
| | • | = ***** | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/695,415

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The addition of further limitations such as guide layer materials and layer locations would necessitate a new search and further consideration.